

Exhibit 16

1 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEYAN (SBN 121570)
2 kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com
ANNETTE L. HURST (SBN 148738)
3 ahurst@orrick.com
GABRIEL M. RAMSEY (SBN 209218)
4 gramsey@orrick.com
405 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105
5 Tel: 1.415.773.5700 / Fax: 1.415.773.5759
PETER A. BICKS (admitted *pro hac vice*)
6 pbicks@orrick.com
LISA T. SIMPSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
7 lsimpson@orrick.com
51 West 52nd Street, New York, NY 10019
8 Tel: 1.212.506.5000 / Fax: 1.212.506.5151

9 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
DAVID BOIES (admitted *pro hac vice*)
10 dboies@bsflp.com
333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504
11 Tel: 1.914.749.8200 / Fax: 1.914.749.8300
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)
12 sholtzman@bsflp.com
1999 Harrison St., Ste. 900, Oakland, CA 94612
13 Tel: 1.510.874.1000 / Fax: 1.510.874.1460

14 ORACLE CORPORATION
DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)
15 dorian.daley@oracle.com
DEBORAH K. MILLER (SBN 95527)
16 deborah.miller@oracle.com
MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (SBN 211600)
17 matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com
RUCHIKA AGRAWAL (SBN 246058)
18 ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com
500 Oracle Parkway,
19 Redwood City, CA 94065
Tel: 650.506.5200 / Fax: 650.506.7117

20 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
22 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
23 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

24 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
25 Plaintiff,
26 v.
27 GOOGLE INC.,
28 Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA

**ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S FOURTH
SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL
DISCLOSURES**

Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S FOURTH
SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL DISCLOSURES
CV 10-03561 WHA

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) and 26(e), Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle") hereby provides these supplemental initial disclosures. Oracle has made a reasonable and good faith effort to make the initial disclosures herein, including providing general descriptions of documents, and identifying persons who may have knowledge of pertinent information, relating to the issues in this action. However, Oracle's investigation of its claims and defenses in this action is ongoing and Google has yet to respond to Oracle's supplemental complaint. Other potential witnesses and/or documents may be identified and become significant as discovery proceeds and as the case develops, and therefore, Oracle reserves the right to supplement these disclosures. These disclosures are intended only to supplement those previously provided pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26; Oracle otherwise incorporates by reference its prior initial and supplemental/amended disclosures.

I. INDIVIDUALS LIKELY TO HAVE DISCOVERABLE INFORMATION THAT ORACLE MAY USE TO SUPPORT ITS CLAIMS OR DEFENSES (FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(i)).

The persons Oracle believes are likely to have discoverable information that Oracle may use to support its claims and defenses are listed below. Oracle otherwise incorporates by reference its prior disclosures. Oracle also incorporates by reference all persons noticed for deposition, deposed by either Oracle or Google in this litigation, disclosed by either Oracle or Google in this litigation (including through all prior Rule 26 disclosures or witness lists), or persons who testified at trial.

Name, Contact Information	Subject
Agarwal, Aditya (Contact through Google's counsel)	Google's revenue and profit projections for Android, including advertising revenues
Barr, Terrence (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Java licensing and strategy
Brady, Patrick (Contact through Google's counsel)	Google's compatibility requirements for Android, including with respect to implementations
Brenner, Alan (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Java development, distribution, licensing, sales, business models and plans, negotiations with

Name, Contact Information	Subject
	Google regarding Java and harm to the Java platform and Java licensing caused by Google and Android
Buchholz, Martin (Contact through Google's counsel)	Google's Java-related discussions with Sun
Camargo, Rafael (Contact through Google's counsel)	Google's compatibility requirements for Android, including with respect to Android devices
Coughran, Bill (Former Google employee)	Java license negotiations between Google and Sun
De Castro, Henrique (Former Google employee)	Google's mobile market and the importance of the mobile market to Google; the role of Android in Google's mobile strategy; Google's motive for copying the Java copyrighted works
DeSalvo, Chris (Former Google employee)	Google's need for Java; Java license negotiations between Google and Sun; facts related to Google's willful copyright infringement; facts related to Google's bad faith in using the Java copyrighted works for Android
Hofert, David (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Java product and business development and plans; Java sales and market, including Java licensing and distribution; impact of Android on the actual or potential market for Java
Lee, Ben (Former Google employee)	Pre-lawsuit Java-related discussions between Google and Oracle; Google's awareness of Sun's and Oracle's Java intellectual property rights and the need for Google to obtain a Java license for Android; facts related to Google's willful infringement; facts related to Google's bad faith in using the Java copyrighted works for Android
Lee, Bob (Former Google employee)	Google's development of Android and inclusion of Java technology therein; Google's copying of the Java copyrighted works into Android and the value and importance thereof; Google's awareness of Sun's and Oracle's Java intellectual property rights and the need for Google to obtain a Java license for Android;

Name, Contact Information	Subject
	Google's Java-related discussions with Sun; pre-lawsuit Java-related discussions between Google and Oracle; facts related to Google's willful copyright infringement; facts related to Google's bad faith in using the Java copyrighted works for Android
McFadden, Andy (Former Google employee)	Google's development of Android and inclusion of Java technology therein; the purpose of the infringing components of Android; Google's awareness of Sun's Java intellectual property rights and the need for Google to obtain a Java license for Android; Google's Java-related discussions with Sun and Oracle; facts related to Google's bad faith in using the Java copyrighted works for Android
McGuire, Joshua (Contact through Google's counsel)	Pre-lawsuit Java-related discussions between Google and Oracle; Google's awareness of Sun's and Oracle's Java intellectual property rights and the need for Google to obtain a Java license for Android; facts related to Google's willful copyright infringement; facts related to Google's bad faith in using the Java copyrighted works for Android
Morrill, Daniel (Former Google employee)	Google's decision to make Android incompatible with Java; facts relating to Android's incompatibility with Java; Google's use of Android devices for testing and other purposes; Google's development of Android applications; Google's involvement in and knowledge of development of Android devices by third parties; Google's compatibility requirements for Android; Google's awareness of Sun's Java intellectual property rights and the need for Google to obtain a Java license for Android; facts related to Google's willful copyright infringement; facts related to Google's bad faith in using the Java copyrighted works for Android
Pichai, Sundar (Contact through Google's counsel)	Product extensions of Android, ongoing infringement of the Java copyrighted works; facts related to Google's willful copyright infringement; facts related to Google's bad

Name, Contact Information	Subject
	faith in using the Java copyrighted works for Android; Google's revenue and profit projections for Android, including advertising revenues
Pichette, Patrick (Former Google employee)	Google's revenue and profit projections for Android, including advertising revenues
Porat, Ruth (Contact through Google's counsel)	Google's revenue and profit projections for Android, including advertising revenues
Reinhold, Mark (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Java development, distribution, licensing, business models, business plans and copyrights; importance, value and quality of the Java copyrighted works that were copied by Google for Android; design and creativity associated with the Java copyrighted works
Ringhofer, Mike (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Java product and business development and plans; Java sales and market, including Java licensing and distribution; impact of Android on the actual or potential market for Java
Saab, Georges (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Java product and business development and plans; impact of Android on the market for Java
Schulman, Eric (Contact through Google's counsel)	Pre-lawsuit Java-related discussions between Google and Oracle; Google's awareness of Sun's and Oracle's Java intellectual property rights and the need for Google to obtain a Java license for Android; facts related to Google's willful copyright infringement; facts related to Google's bad faith in using the Java copyrighted works for Android
Screven, Edward (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Oracle's acquisition of Sun's intellectual property rights, including Java as part of the acquisition of Sun; importance of Java to Oracle's business; design and creativity associated with the Java copyrighted works
Smith, Donald (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Java product and business development and plans; Java markets; Java licensing; impact of Android on the actual or potential markets for Java

Name, Contact Information	Subject
Ståhl, Henrik (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Java product and business development and plans; Java markets; Java licensing; impact of Android on the actual or potential markets for Java
Stein, Greg (Former Google employee)	Java compatibility and fragmentation
Swetland, Brian (Former Google employee)	Google's development of Android and inclusion of Java technology therein; Google's copying of Java copyrighted works into Android; Google's awareness of Sun's Java intellectual property rights and the need for Google to obtain a Java license for Android; the benefits associated with Java and Google's lack of alternatives; Google's Java-related discussions with Sun and Oracle; past negotiations with Sun for Java license (including while at Danger Inc.); facts related to Google's willful copyright infringement; facts related to Google's bad faith in using the Java copyrighted works for Android
Wall, Dick (Former Google employee)	Android development, marketing and distribution
Wayne, Mark (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Java licensing
Wilson, Jesse (Contact through Google's counsel)	Android development
Zavery, Amit (Contact through Oracle's counsel)	Importance of Java to Oracle's business; harm caused by Android

II. DOCUMENTS (FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(ii)).

Oracle discloses and describes by category the following documents, electronically-stored information, data compilations and tangible things that are or may be in the possession, custody or control of Oracle that Oracle currently and reasonably believes it may use to support its claims or defenses. Oracle otherwise incorporates by reference its prior disclosures.

1. Documents evidencing Google's knowledge of its need to license Java for its use of Java copyrighted works in Android.

1 2. Documents evidencing Google's knowledge or reckless disregard of its
2 infringement of the Java copyrighted works.

3 3. Documents evidencing Google's bad faith in using the Java copyrighted works for
4 Android.

5 4. Documents evidencing harm to the market for the Java copyrighted works.

6 5. Documents showing the commercial purpose of Google's use of the Java
7 copyrighted works in Android.

8 6. Documents relating to the nature of the copyrighted work, including, but not
9 limited to, the creativity associated with the Java copyrighted works.

10 7. Documents evidencing the quantity of Java copyrighted works copied by Google.

11 8. Documents evidencing the quality and importance of the Java copyrighted works
12 copied by Google.

13 9. Documents evidencing the potential and actual market associated with the Java
14 copyrighted works.

15 10. Documents concerning harm to the potential market for the Java copyrighted
16 works.

17 11. Documents relating to Google's argument that its use of the Java copyrighted
18 works was transformative.

19 12. Documents relating to each type and category of damages and remedies to which
20 Oracle is entitled, including, but not limited to, license fees, revenue from and profitability of
21 Java and related Oracle businesses, Oracle's actual damages resulting from Google's
22 infringement, and Google's revenue from Android and/or any other product or service licensed or
23 sold with Android (to the extent any such documents are in Oracle's possession).

24 13. Documents relating to Oracle's and Google's business models for Java and
25 Android.

26 14. Documents relating to Google's decision to make Android incompatible with Java
27 and Android's incompatibility with Java.

28

1 15. Documents evidencing Google's awareness that Java goes beyond being a
2 programming language and encompasses licensed works (including the Java copyrighted works),
3 an entire platform, and a development community and ecosystem.

4 The above documents are maintained primarily at one or more Oracle locations in
5 California (Santa Clara and Redwood Shores) and Broomfield, Colorado, depending on the
6 location of the various individuals above. Oracle has otherwise produced documents and will
7 continue to produce documents (pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e)) that Oracle
8 reasonably believes it may use to support its claims or defenses.

9 **III. INITIAL DISCLOSURES REGARDING COMPUTATION OF DAMAGES (FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(iii)).**

10 Oracle hereby incorporates its prior responses in its initial disclosures (Dec. 2, 2010) and
11 prior supplemental/amended disclosures (June 3, 2011, Aug. 10, 2011, and Aug. 27, 2015). As
12 set forth in those responses, Oracle seeks all remedies available under the Copyright Act,
13 including actual damages and disgorgement of Google's profits attributable to the infringement of
14 Oracle's Java copyrighted works (17 U.S.C. § 504(b)). At a minimum, Oracle is entitled to
15 statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c). Oracle also will seek costs and attorneys' fees
16 pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505. Documents required for the calculation of a remedy in this case,
17 particularly Google's revenue from its infringement, have yet to be produced in full. Specifically,
18 discovery is needed on the extent of the profits attributable to the infringement, both since the last
19 trial relating to Android's use on handheld devices but also including revenue attributable to non-
20 handheld Android devices such as Android Wear, Android TV, Android Auto, Google Play and
21 other Android devices. In addition, expert reports for parties with the burden of proof are not due
22 to be served until January 8, 2016. For these reasons, Oracle will supplement this response after
23 the requested discovery is produced and expert reports are exchanged.

24 **IV. INITIAL DISCLOSURES REGARDING INSURANCE (FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(iv)).**

25 Oracle is unaware of any insurance agreement under which an insurance business may be
26 liable to satisfy all or part of a judgment in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments
27 made to satisfy any judgment.
28

1
2 Dated: December 13, 2015

KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN
ANNETTE L. HURST
GABRIEL M. RAMSEY
PETER A. BICKS
LISA T. SIMPSON
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

6
7 By: /s/ Lisa T. Simpson
LISA T. SIMPSON

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
9 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, 1000 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, California 94025. On December 13, 2015, I served the following document(s):

**ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL
INITIAL DISCLOSURES**

on the interested parties in this action by electronic service [Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 5(b)] by electronically mailing a true and correct copy, pursuant to counsel's email dated August 24, 2015, to the following listserv:

DALVIK-KVN@kvn.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States that the above is true and correct.

Executed on December 13, 2015, at Menlo Park, California.

/s/ Robert L. Uriarte

Robert L. Uriarte